## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## **District of Minnesota**

William Elmqu		JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
	Plaintiff(s),	JUDGWENT IN A CIVIL CASE
v.	( //	Case Number: 18-cv-2668 DTS
Nancy A. Berry	hill.	
	sioner of Social Security,	
States of Ameri	ca	
	Defendant(s).	
<ul> <li>☐ Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.</li> <li>☑ Decision by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.</li> </ul>		
IT IS ORDERED  1. F The case is rer particular, the A Plaintiff can rel hypothetical to superficial" limi into the hypothe determination r	AND ADJUDGED THAT: Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judenanded to the Administrative Law ALJ is directed to: (1) either make ate to co-workers and supervisors the Vocational Expert that incorporate tations; (2) incorporate the "environtical posed to the Vocational Exp	Igment [Docket No. 14] is GRANTED.  Judge for further consideration. In explicit his basis for determining the s on an "occasional" basis, or pose a orates the agency reviewers' "brief and onment with fewer persons" limitation pert; and (3) make transparent his and incorporate that determination into
2. D	efendant's Motion for Summary J	ludgment [Docket No. 17] is DENIED.
Date: 5/13/20	019	KATE M. FOGARTY, CLERK
		s/K. Krulas
		(By) K. Krulas, Deputy Clerk